

COMMERCIAL LEASE AMENDMENTS IN THE AGE OF COVID-19: MAKING THEM WORK IN EIGHT STEPS



JOSHUA STEIN, one of the most prolific contributors to *The Practical Real Estate Lawyer* in its history, handles a wide range of commercial real estate transactions and regularly serves as an expert witness. His firm, Joshua Stein PLLC, celebrated its 10th anniversary in August 2020. He is a member of the American College of Real Estate Lawyers, the American College of Mortgage Attorneys, and author of five books and 300+ articles on commercial real estate. Many appear on his website, www.joshuastein.com. He received his law degree from Columbia Law School, where he was a Harlan Fiske Stone Scholar and a managing editor of the *Columbia Law Review*. The author reserves the right to assert positions inconsistent with this article, which is offered for discussion only. Copyright © 2020 Joshua Stein.

Property owners, tenants, and their attorneys and other advisers have lately spent a lot of time negotiating lease amendments and waivers to give tenants breathing room in today's COVID-19-driven shutdowns. These negotiations typically deal with the same economic issues again and again. In most cases, what the tenant wants is a partial or complete abatement of rent to offset the tenant's loss of business.

Sometimes, the parties agree to defer rent rather than abate it. This doesn't help the tenant much, unless repayment of the deferred rent begins far in the future and then continues in small installments for a long time after that. From the owner's perspective, though, a deferral might just as well be an abatement if the tenant is gone, and beyond the owner's reach, by the time the deferred rent comes due. The burden of having to repay the deferred rent might itself drive an earlier departure.

Commentators sometimes suggest that tenants should, during the pandemic, pay only enough rent to cover the property owner's debt service, taxes, and operating expenses. They argue that the owner should suck it up and forgo profit during the pandemic. That's a nice heartwarming suggestion. In the real world, though, especially in large cities like New York, an owner needs nearly all of its revenue just to stay above water. Owners don't just own real

estate and get free money. They have expenses—lots of expenses—a fact often overlooked by the “cancel rent” crowd and the legislators who listen to them.

Owners sometimes simply go along with whatever the tenant proposes, and sign formal abatement or deferral agreements. Some owners really believe they have no other options. They see a dim future. They would rather have tenants in occupancy than vacant space in a market with rents that are dropping almost daily. The fact that real estate is a marketplace, in which prices can go down dramatically in a short time because of even small shifts in supply, is another dynamic of the real world that activists and legislators forget or maybe never recognized in the first place.

In response to requests from tenants, some owners just want to be “nice” because it's a round world and they don't want to invite bad Karma. As a result of all this, countless tenants and owners have been signing rent abatement or deferral agreements since March 2020.

In this process, the parties—especially owners—should look beyond the simple issues of abatement and deferral. They should think about some other elements of lease amendment negotiations that ought to be part of the package and that, if not handled right, could produce unpleasant surprises later.

This article seeks to help owners' and tenants' counsel avoid pitfalls in lease renegotiations that take place against the backdrop of unforeseeable events arising from the COVID-19 pandemic.

1. Formalize amendment negotiations

It starts with the negotiation process. An owner will sometimes not want to deal with the problem, because it's unpleasant, and the owner will allow unpaid rent to pile up. In the meantime, the owner and tenant send some emails back and forth about possible rent waivers or deferrals. If the owner isn't careful, a court might decide after the fact that the owner agreed to something—much to the owner's chagrin.

Most leases contain protective language to seek to prevent unintended lease amendments or waivers. Courts in a tenant-friendly mood—often most courts—sometimes ignore or actively sidestep that sort of boilerplate language. So an owner should not rely on it.

A careful owner will state in every communication that it isn't legally binding and it's just a conversation about a possible future lease amendment. An even more careful owner might insist on formal written communications (signed letters back and forth), with appropriate caveats every time.

If the owner waits and does nothing, a court might decide, at a certain point, that the owner has waived some of its rights. So the owner shouldn't ignore the problem in the hope that it will go away. It won't. And the owner shouldn't expect the tenant to push these discussions along. It's often up to the owner.

Before going too far down the lease amendment road, though, an owner may want to ask some financial questions and get some financial information about the tenant and its guarantors. For example:

- Exactly how is the pandemic causing financial hardship for your business?
- Please provide current financial reports demonstrating that impact.

- What government assistance or insurance coverage have you obtained or are you seeking?
- How many employees have you kept, laid off, or furloughed?
- What is your exposure on other leases? What arrangements have you made there?
- Please provide current financials for tenant and its principals, including any guarantors.
- Although this location is presently shut, how has it performed for you over the years? Please provide sales reports or location-specific profit and loss statements.
- Please provide the dates and amounts of the distributions and compensation your business paid to its owners over the last 12 months.

By asking questions like these and clearly indicating that the answers are the price of admission, an owner can sometimes stop the lease amendment process in its tracks.

2. Other deal terms

An owner might reasonably ask the tenant to make some other concessions related to the concessions being sought by the tenant. The tenant might pre-pay at least some of any reduced rent. If the tenant pays real estate taxes, the owner might insist on an early deposit of funds. Perhaps the lease should be extended for the duration of any rent holiday, or the owner should obtain a termination right.

Before an owner waives or defers rent, the parties might explore other ways to cover the tenant's rent in the shutdown period. For example, if the owner still owes the tenant anything on account of a tenant improvement allowance, the parties might repurpose those funds and use them to pay rent.

Sometimes the parties will agree to release funds from the tenant's security deposit to pay some or all of the current unpaid rent. In that case, the lease will ordinarily require the tenant to immediately

replenish the security deposit. Instead, the owner and tenant need to either waive that requirement completely or allow the tenant to replenish the security deposit on a very slow schedule. Without a very gradual schedule for replenishment, the tenant hasn't accomplished much.

If the lease already allows the tenant to reduce the security deposit over time, how does that work with today's lease amendment and possible release of funds from the security deposit? Will the tenant still have a right to the future reduction?

In mixed retail/residential buildings, owners who are otherwise resigned to a rent reduction have sometimes come up with a creative substitute to help their retail tenants while scoring points with apartment tenants. Here, the tenants have paid some of their rent by giving the owner gift cards, which the owner then distributes to the apartment tenants. It's a form of marketing for both the owner and its retail tenant, but hardly offers a long-term solution to the retail tenant's problems.

In renegotiating any lease, a tenant may want to add some new language that goes beyond rent abatements and deferrals in response to today's pandemic. Specifically, tenants—especially retail tenants—are starting to ask for built-in rent abatements or deferrals that would activate automatically if some future action by any governmental authority requires the tenant to shut down its business without fault by the tenant.

For example, a prominent food and beverage chain now tries to include in its new leases a 50 percent fixed rent abatement if a future mandatory shut-down reduces its sales by more than 25 percent, with a complete abatement of fixed rent if the business must shut down completely. It remains to be seen how much success tenants will achieve with such proposals. Lenders and owners don't want leases to allow any interruption of rental income. In the upcoming tenant-friendly leasing market, though, lenders and owners may have to relent if they want to sign leases. It all depends on what the property owner next door is willing to do.

Similarly, tenants amending existing leases or signing new ones may want to lower their fixed rent and agree to pay percentage rent if the tenant's business later becomes very successful. Historically, property owners have always hesitated to agree to percentage rent with small, informal, or cash-based businesses, for fear that their financial reporting will be completely unreliable. Owners may need to live with that fear going forward, if they want to sign leases or keep their existing tenants.

Traditionally, at least outside of malls, a tenant's sales and profitability were none of the owner's business. Now that owners have unexpectedly and involuntarily become participants in the downside of their tenants' businesses, perhaps it's reasonable for them to know how their tenants are doing going forward, even if the numbers might not always be entirely reliable. At a minimum, transparency about the tenant's sales and profitability might help the owner and its lender assess the likelihood of future defaults or future lease extensions.

3. Lease amendment process

An owner might reasonably ask the tenant to cover the owner's legal fees in negotiating any lease amendment. As a practical matter, tenants generally refuse, because the whole exercise is driven by the tenant's statement that it is short of funds.

Once a tenant and an owner agree on a lease amendment, the tenant will typically try to keep the formalities as simple and quick as possible, helpfully providing a first draft of a very minimalistic and perhaps not very careful amendment that accomplishes only what the tenant wants to accomplish. The tenant may do this partly in the hope that the owner won't involve counsel at all, and won't think too much about the lease amendment. Lawyers only make things complicated, after all.

In most cases, an owner shouldn't just sign whatever lease amendment the tenant sends along. At the very least, the owner should insist on having the tenant confirm the status of the lease. For example, does the tenant have any claims against the owner? Did the owner deliver the space as required?

Does the tenant think it's entitled to any refunds? Does the tenant think the owner waived any lease obligations?

A lease amendment is a great occasion to pin down issues with the lease and try to eliminate them. Ideally, the owner should require the tenant to waive and release any issues. Otherwise, the parties should negotiate a resolution in their lease amendment. The owner does not want to learn about the tenant's issues 20 minutes after accommodating the tenant's request to abate or defer rent.

4. Don't forget about the rest of the lease

If the owner and tenant agree on some rent relief, how does that interact with other provisions of the lease? For example, perhaps the owner now agrees to abate rent by 50 percent for four months because of the pandemic. But maybe the tenant was already entitled to a free month of rent during that abatement period. Does the tenant still get the free month of rent? Can the tenant apply that free rent to some other month?

Similar issues arise if the lease expresses future rent adjustments as a percentage of the previous rent. What happens if that "previous rent" got chopped in half? How do you calculate the future adjustments?

These are great questions. The parties should answer them in the lease amendment, not leave them for future debate.

5. Two-way street

Any lease amendment process can be a two-way street, giving an owner an opening to try to change the lease to its benefit as well. Here are some questions to ask:

- Has anything in the lease been a problem?
- Does anything in the lease need to be repaired?
- If the lease has burdensome or dangerous pro-tenant clauses, such as purchase options or rights of first refusal, should the owner try to get rid of them?

- Did the owner make final concessions in the original lease negotiations that the owner might now have a chance to undo?

- If the lease allowed relatively free assignment, would the owner like to trim that back now?

- Would the owner like to obtain a lease extension—or a right to terminate the lease early—as part of the price of whatever concessions the tenant obtains?

Whether or not the owner already has in mind making any changes to the existing lease, the owner may want its counsel to read through that lease, looking for problems or trouble. The problem, of course, is that owner's counsel can almost always find something that could stand improvement. The cost and timing of the search for inferior lease language may make no sense. The tenant will likely try to reject anything the owner proposes anyway.

So, although a full review of the lease may sound like a great idea, it's not something for which the owner should tolerate much delay or extra expense. Maybe counsel should just check for some crucial provisions. For example, this might be a good time to add a late charge and default interest, or "magic language" necessary to exercise rights and remedies under state law, if the lease doesn't already contain appropriate provisions.

6. Rethink notices in the WFH era

As part of any pandemic-based lease amendment, the parties should think about how working from home might affect the practicalities of lease administration. Specifically, they might want to update the notice procedures in the lease to allow formal lease notices to be given by email rather than by physical delivery, because if no one is working at the office, no one will be able to receive and act upon any physical notices.

Email notices do raise legal and practical issues and uncertainties beyond the scope of this article. As an example of how email notices can work, however, the parties to one recent lease renegotiation agreed

that anyone giving an email notice had to give it to a total of four email addresses. It would not become effective unless at least two recipients affirmatively replied by email to confirm receipt.

7. Deal with third parties if necessary

Whenever the owner agrees to any lease amendment, the owner also must think about third parties as follows:

- The owner might still owe installments of brokerage commission to the broker who arranged the lease. The owner may want to try to renegotiate those payments, given that the lease wasn't as valuable as anticipated. The owner's leverage will partly depend on what the brokerage agreement says about conditions to payment of any future installment and whether the tenant is actually in default under the lease.
- The lease will often be backed by a guaranty. The owner should insist that the guarantor consent to the amendment and acknowledge the guaranty will still apply to the lease as amended. Without that, the guarantor might claim the guaranty went away as soon as the owner and the tenant signed their lease amendment. As a second issue, often a guaranty will terminate if at any time the tenant peacefully moves out without having to be evicted (a "good-guy guaranty"). In that case, as a condition to terminating the guaranty, the owner might want to require the guarantor to repay some or all of the deferred or abated rent. As an alternative, the parties might modify the guaranty to say the exit right will arise only after a certain date.
- If the lease is a ground lease—typically for a very long term with the tenant expected to develop or redevelop the property—any modification will require consent by the tenant's lender. If the owner and the tenant agree to defer rather than merely abate rent, that probably constitutes a modification requiring lender consent, and the lender will probably regard the deferral as just a form of borrowing and hence very undesirable.

- The owner needs to remember it too probably has a lender that holds a mortgage on the property. More on that below.

8. Avoid these borrower-lender traps

If an owner (a.k.a. "borrower" in this section) accommodates even a handful of requests for relief from tenants, the owner may soon find it has trouble paying its mortgage. Before long, the owner may decide to approach its lender for accommodations like those the owner gave its tenants. If an owner takes a wrong step in the process, though, it may find itself in default under its mortgage loan and at risk of losing its property through foreclosure.

Loan documents often require the borrower to obtain the lender's approval before waiving or deferring any rent payment or modifying any lease in any way. Those restrictions vary among loans and with the size, duration, importance, and type of leases. When these restrictions do apply, if an owner accommodates its tenant without getting the lender's approval, the lender might very well have the right to call a default and ultimately foreclose.

A court might not side with the lender, but there's no guarantee. So, if the loan documents require it, the borrower ought to have a conversation with its lender, and get approval for any rent abatement or deferral. If the lender refuses to go along, the borrower might creatively restructure the accommodation in a way that avoids the lender approval requirement, but this could create its own set of issues.

Any rent waiver made without lender approval may produce bad consequences that go far beyond just allowing the lender to call a default.

In most commercial mortgage loans, the borrower's principals sign a nonrecourse carveout guaranty, in which the principals agree to guaranty the loan—but only if the borrower violates certain provisions of the loan documents, such as by making a prohibited transfer of the property or filing a voluntary bankruptcy. As long as the borrower doesn't do those things, the lender might foreclose, but it won't have

any recourse against the borrower or its principals if the collateral doesn't suffice to repay the loan.

The list of violations triggering a nonrecourse carveout guaranty sometimes includes amending a lease, or waiving a tenant's obligations, without the lender's consent. So an ordinary accommodation to a tenant in trouble, if done without the lender's consent, might make the owner's principals responsible for payment of the entire loan, an exposure they otherwise would have avoided. This would be a disaster.

A borrower should consider another similar trap before approaching its lender for any relief. Almost all loan documents say it's a default, so the lender can foreclose, if the borrower admits in writing its inability to pay its debts. This default usually appears in a long, dense, unreadable paragraph on insolvency-related defaults. If a borrower admits it can't pay its debts, this might help support an involuntary bankruptcy filing against the borrower. The lender doesn't want that to happen—not that the borrower's written admission will affect the ultimate outcome all that much if the borrower in fact can't pay its debts.

A borrower's admission of inability to pay debts may even allow the lender to claim that the borrower's principals are now liable for the entire loan under a nonrecourse carveout guaranty. This is, of course, an absurd result, but no more absurd than other recent results in litigation over similar guaranties. So the owner shouldn't say or write anything about its general inability to pay its debts, whether or not accurate.

Conclusion

Any real estate owner must proceed with extreme care both in accommodating its tenants and in seeking accommodations from its lender. Read the loan documents first. Do nothing to give the lender a hook on which to hang a default—or, worse, a claim under a nonrecourse carveout guaranty. And when negotiating with tenants, remember that lease renegotiations can work both ways.